Pre-operative inspiratory muscle training preserves postoperative inspiratory muscle strength following major abdominal surgery – a randomised pilot study
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Abstract

INTRODUCTION

The aim of this pilot study was to assess the effect of pre-operative inspiratory muscle training (IMT) on respiratory variables in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Respiratory muscle strength (maximum inspiratory [MIP] and expiratory [MEP] mouth pressure) and pulmonary functions were measured at least 2 weeks before surgery in 80 patients awaiting major abdominal surgery. Patients were then allocated randomly to one of four groups (Group A, control; Group B, deep breathing exercises; Group C, incentive spirometry; Group D, specific IMT). Patients in groups B, C and D were asked to train twice daily, each session lasting 15 min, for at least 2 weeks up to the day before surgery. Outcome measurements were made immediately pre-operatively and postoperatively.

RESULTS

In groups A, B and C, MIP did not increase from baseline to pre-operative assessments. In group D, MIP increased from 51.5 cmH₂O (median) pre-training to 68.5 cmH₂O (median) post-training pre-operatively (P < 0.01). Postoperatively, groups A, B and C showed a fall in MIP from baseline (P < 0.01, P < 0.01) and P = 0.06, respectively). No such significant reduction in postoperative MIP was seen in group D (P = 0.36).

CONCLUSIONS

Pre-operative specific IMT improves MIP pre-operatively and preserves it postoperatively. Further studies are required to establish if this is associated with reduced pulmonary complications.
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Patients undergoing major surgery suffer postoperative respiratory complications with significant postoperative morbidity and mortality. Complications include atelectasis, pneumonia and adult respiratory distress...
syndrome. Nearly one-quarter of deaths occurring within 6 days of surgery are related to postoperative pulmonary complications. Thoracotomy and coronary artery bypass grafting carry with them a particularly high risk of pulmonary complications. The incidence of postoperative pulmonary complications after abdominal surgery is reported to be 6–76%, with the incidence following upper abdominal operations as high as 60–75%. A leading cause of postoperative pulmonary complications is inadequate inspiratory effort leading to inadequate expectoration of sputum. Furthermore, a high respiratory demand leads to inspiratory muscle fatigue and exacerbates collapse of alveoli. Mean length of stay in the hospital has been shown to be 6 times more in patients who develop postoperative pulmonary complications following elective non-thoracic surgery.

Inspiratory muscle training (IMT) improves performance in athletes as well as exercise tolerance and quality of life in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and other conditions affecting the respiratory system such as cystic fibrosis, Parkinson's disease and myasthenia gravis. Pre-operative IMT may prevent postoperative pulmonary complications by increasing inspiratory muscle strength as assessed by inspiratory pressures in patients undergoing CABG and thoracic surgery. Although there may be some benefit of pre-operative incentive spirometry, deep breathing exercises and chest physiotherapy in reducing postoperative pulmonary complications following major abdominal surgery, systematic analysis does not support the use of incentive spirometry for decreasing the incidence of postoperative pulmonary complications following cardiac or upper abdominal surgery. However, there is virtually no research of specific IMT in preventing postoperative pulmonary complications in patients undergoing major elective general surgical operations where fewer respiratory complications could make a substantial difference to morbidity, mortality, length of stay and cost of care.

Powerbreathe® (HaB Ltd, UK) is a hand-held specific inspiratory muscle trainer available on NHS prescription that improves maximal static inspiratory mouth pressure in healthy humans and patients with lung disease. It is simple to use and rapid to learn. It has not been used previously to train surgical patients. Incentive spirometry (Henley's Medical Ltd, UK) is also simple and quick to learn. Both devices are self-administered and cheaper than physiotherapy. Our hypothesis is that pre-operative IMT is more effective than incentive spirometry or deep breathing exercises (DBE) in preserving inspiratory muscle function postoperatively.

**Patients and Methods**

**Setting and patients**

Patients undergoing major abdominal surgery were assessed and trained by the researcher. Ethics committee approval was granted by the Southmead Research Ethics Committee, Bristol with reference number 06/Q2002/88. The study adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki.

Patients were included if they were: (i) over 18 years of age; and (ii) undergoing major abdominal general surgery (defined as deliberate breach of peritoneum), or major uro-logical surgery, with ASA (American Society of Anesthesiologists) grades I-IV requiring any length of hospital stay. Patients were excluded if they were ASA grade V, had suspected or established respiratory infection, were likely to undergo surgery to be performed within 2 weeks of initial assessment, had previous spontaneous pneumothorax, or were unable to give informed consent.

**Randomisation**

Patients were allocated to four groups by computer-generated, random numbers placed in sequentially numbered sealed envelopes: Group A, control (no training); Group B, deep breathing exercise; Group C, incentive spirometry; and group D, specific inspiratory muscle training using Powerbreathe®. Group allocation was non-blinded and done after baseline assessment.

**Assessments and intervention**

**ASSESSMENT 1 - BASELINE** Baseline assessment included: (i) type of surgical procedure and past medical...
INTERVENTION
Patients were expected to train twice daily for 2 weeks minimum, since most were to undergo surgery within 2-3 weeks after decision to operate. Patients were instructed in technique by the researcher and were asked to train twice daily each session lasting 15 min up to the day before surgery at home. They undertook self-assessment of training completed and ease of training method (1-5, 1 being very easy and 5 being very difficult).

Patients in group B were taught deep breathing exercises. Patients in group C used Spiroball®, an incentive spirometry device. The training load was set depending on age and gender. Patients in group D trained using Powerbreathe®. The initial device resistance loading was set to 20-30% of baseline MIP and according to ease of use in the first session. The load varied from 1 to 9 and was increased incrementally by half a level daily for the first week. For the remaining duration, patients trained at a level achieved at the end of the first week.

ASSESSMENT 2 - IMMEDIATE PRE-OPERATIVE This was performed within 48 h before surgery and included the aforementioned respiratory variables.

ASSESSMENT 3 - FINAL POSTOPERATIVE Usually performed between 1-7 days postoperatively depending on the patient's physical ability, pain score and the discharge date, the respiratory variables were re-measured.

Outcome measures
Primary end-points were absolute and relative change in all respiratory variables following training before surgery and after surgery. Secondary outcome measures included length of stay of patients, time in HDU/ITU postoperatively, time on a ventilator, respiratory rates and oxygen saturations from charts at a fixed time postoperatively off oxygen, proven respiratory infection (positive sputum culture) and other pulmonary complications.

Statistical analysis
This was performed using Statistics Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) with guidance from a statistician. Pre-training and post-training and after surgery differences in VC, FVC, FEV1, MIP and MEP were analysed for significance. Wilcoxon signed rank test (non-parametric method) was used for analysis of the differences and a P-value < 0.05 was taken as significant. Since this was a pilot study, formal calculation of sample size was not necessary.

Results
A total of 300 eligible patients were invited to participate, and 80 patients consented. Their demographics and clinical characteristics are shown in Supplementary Material Table 1 (online). Four patients due to have laparoscopic surgery did not and, therefore, were not assessed further. Thirty-two patients recruited underwent colorectal operations, 22 upper gastrointestinal, 11 vascular, 10 general surgical operations and one underwent urological surgery. Patients in group A did not train. Patients in group B trained for 14 days (median; range, 6-35 days) with grade 3 difficulty (median; range, 1–4). Those in group C trained for 14 days (median; range, 10–50 days) with grade 2 difficulty (median; range, 1–5) and those in group D trained for 14 days (median; range, 8–28 days) with grade 3 difficulty (median; range, 1–4). Nineteen patients in groups A and B, 20 in group C and 18 in group D had pre-operative measurements of all respiratory variables. Postoperative measurements of all respiratory variables were performed in 17 patients in group A (median, 7 days; range, 1–27 days), B (median, 2 days; range, 1–33) and D (median, 6 days; range, 1–22 days) and 15 patients in group C (median, 6 days; range, 1–24 days). Fourteen patients did not have postoperative measurements; six patients due to pain, four patients...
failed to attend follow-up appointment and four patients did not have surgery.

Figure 1
Consort flow diagram.

**Inspiratory muscle strength**

In group D, MIP increased from 51.5 cmH\(_2\)O before training to 68.5 cmH\(_2\)O (median) after training pre-operatively (\(P < 0.01\)). No such changes were seen pre-operatively in the other groups. Postoperatively, groups A, B, and C showed a fall in MIP and only in group D did the MIP remain more than pre-training level (Table 2A).

Table 2A
Maximum inspiratory pressure of all the groups

**Expiratory muscle strength**

MEP showed little or no change between pre-training and pre-operatively, and reduced postoperatively in all four groups (Supplementary Material Table 2B online).

**Vital capacity and forced vital capacity**

VC showed little change between baseline and pre-operative measurements in all four groups. It reduced in all four groups postoperatively but only in group D was this reduction not statistically significant (Table 3A). Postoperatively, FVC reduced significantly in all groups (Supplementary Material Table 3B online).

Table 3A
Vital capacity of all the groups

**Forced expiratory volume in 1 second**

FEV\(_1\) showed little change pre-operatively, and reduced significantly postoperatively compared to baseline levels (Supplementary Material Table 3C online).

**Secondary outcome measures**

No patients in groups C and D had respiratory complications following surgery. Two patients in group A and one in group B had chest infections requiring antibiotic treatment (Supplementary Material Table 4 online).

**Discussion**

This study demonstrates that inspiratory muscle training for 2 weeks before surgery using a prescribable inspiratory muscle trainer (Powerbreathe®) resulted in a significant increase in inspiratory muscle strength before major abdominal surgery that continued to be significantly better than other groups postoperatively. Patients in all the other groups showed significant impairment in respiratory muscle strength as well as lung function postoperatively, but vital capacity appeared better preserved in the IMT group. These results accord with other randomised studies of IMT in patients undergoing cardiac and thoracic surgeries.\(^{4,5}\) Moreover, these findings are consistent with studies of IMT performed on athletes\(^{11,12,23}\) and patients with COPD\(^{13,14,24,25}\) that showed improvement in inspiratory muscle strength, exercise tolerance and quality of life after training.

The study also included two other common, inexpensive techniques - incentive spirometry and deep breathing...
exercises. Although these techniques are used on postoperative patients to improve their breathing function, these training methods failed to confer any benefits on lung function and the inspiratory muscle strength pre- and postoperatively.

There is a high incidence of postoperative pulmonary complications in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery.\textsuperscript{2,6,7,9,16} This is thought to be due to inspiratory muscle fatigue leading to collapse of alveoli. Although this study does not accurately reflect the true incidence of postoperative pulmonary complications, one might speculate that improvement in inspiratory muscle strength following training is likely to prevent inspiratory muscle fatigue and, in turn, lead to reduced postoperative pulmonary complications and hospital stay. Interestingly, studies on healthy young athletes indicate that exercise-induced inspiratory muscle fatigue is attenuated substantially after IMT.\textsuperscript{11,12}

Remarkably, pulmonary function including VC, FVC and FEV\textsubscript{1} remained almost unchanged at the end of the training period pre-operatively in all the groups (IMT). After surgery, there was a significant reduction in almost all lung function variables in groups A-C, but VC in patients of group D was maintained at near baseline levels. This indicates that a patient's ability to overcome the elastic load to inhalation was improved following IMT. Since other variables are indices of airway function, we would not predict these to change in patients without lung disease. Maintenance of vital capacity may improve cough function, and lessen the risk of postoperative pulmonary complications.\textsuperscript{26}

The inclusion and exclusion criteria were deliberately very open so that the results could be generalised to wider age groups undergoing abdominal surgery rather than to a few young patients with better compliance. This study fulfilled the aim of testing the feasibility of pre-operative training in major abdominal surgical patients. Almost all patients were able to train for at least 2 weeks before surgery and very few found the training difficult to perform. However, some patients may be fitter and at lower risk than others, which may have had an impact on results. There may be a case for selecting only high-risk patients for pre-operative IMT based on their pulmonary risk score.\textsuperscript{27}

The strict exclusion criteria meant that patients unlikely to wait for 2 weeks to undergo surgery were excluded. Consequently, a large number of patients awaiting intra-abdominal cancer surgery were not included in the study and may, in future, miss out on an opportunity to improve breathing before surgery. It may be necessary to keep the training period more flexible to suit needs of such challenging groups of patients who deserve to have urgent surgery. Arguably, perhaps for patients at high risk of postoperative pulmonary complications, it may become important to defer surgery to allow for a short period of IMT.

Whilst the results are interesting, this pilot study was not designed to provide clear evidence of benefits of IMT in reducing incidence of postoperative pulmonary complications and hospital stay. Nonetheless, it is clear that IMT improves and preserves inspiratory muscle strength and vital capacity pre- and postoperatively. It remains to be seen whether these improvements translate into a reduced incidence of postoperative pulmonary complications and hospital stay. However, these data provide justification for a larger randomised controlled trial to answer this question.
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